

### Recent progress on Telco localization

Jinhua Lv

Prof. Weixiong Rao

School of Software Engineering, Tongji University

Aug.16<sup>th</sup>, 2019



### Agenda

- Framework Overview
- Telco Outdoor Localization
- Telco Indoor Localization



#### **Framework Overview**





#### PRNet: Outdoor Position Recovery for Heterogeneous Telco Data by Deep Neural Network

Yige Zhang, Kun Zhang, Weixiong Rao

Accepted by CIKM, 2019



#### **Telco Localization**





### Motivation

#### >Why Telco Localization?

- Well-known GPS weaknesses.
- Telco data can be collected cheaply, frequently and on a global scale.
- Recovering outdoor locations for applications e.g., human mobility, urban planning and traffic forecasting.

#### >Why sequential neural network model?

- The associated locations obviously exhibit spatiotemporal locality.
- Existing works using first-order sequence models (HMM) cannot precisely capture spatiotemporal locality.
- Deep neural network is used in learning spatial locality (CNN) and temporal locality (RNN).

#### 同協大学 TONGJI UNIVERSITY

Fig. 2



#### ➢ Mixed transportation mode (Fig 1)

- walking, cycling, driving, etc.
- higher speed -> weak dependency

#### Irregular MR sampling rate (Fig 2)

- 1s~120s
- low battery, sensor anomaly, signal block

#### Uneven density of base stations (Fig 3)

• Urban > rural

 $\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \label{eq:constraint} \\$ 

Fig. 1

To address those issues, we propose **PRNet**:

- 1) allows variable-length windows of MR samples to capture spatial locality within each window
- 2) designs two attention mechanisms for time intervals and MR sequences to capture temporal locality
- 3) Develops a network structure consisting of local and global predictors to merge the spatiotemporal locality



### MR (sub)sequence



#### [MR sequence]

 Definition: The MR samples with 1) same primary serving base station (RNCID\_1 and CellID\_1) and 2) IMSI

- Examples:  $S_{a1}$ ,  $S_{a2}$ ,  $S_{b1}$ ,  $S_{b2}$ ,  $S_{c1}$ ,  $S_{c2}$ 

#### [MR subsequence]

Definition: The MR samples with 1) same primary serving base station, 2) IMSI, and 3) same non-serving base station (RNCID\_2 and CellID\_2)

#### **Reason:** the MR samples show tight dependency within (sub)sequences

-> solve challenge &3 (uneven density of base stations)



### PRNet framework





### **Local Predictor**





### **Global Recurrent Predictor**



Concatenate hidden states to produce final output

Learn long-term dependencies / overall moving trend

Learn short-term dependencies / local moving trend

-> solve challenge &1 &2 (transportation mode, irregular sampling rate)



### **Global Recurrent Predictor**





### Experiments

#### • Datasets

	Jiading	Siping	Xuhui
Num. of samples (2G/4G)	17354/12245	6723/4953	14680/10455
Route len (2G/4G) in km	96.5/60.3	24.6/15.5	29.3/15.9
Sampling rate (sec)	3	3	2
Area size (km <sup>2</sup> )	1.67	0.862	0.57
% of MRs with walking/cycling/driving	64.7/25.2/14.1	63.8/36.2/0.0	58.5/0.0/41.5
Serving BSs density (2G/4G)	5.72/8.45	7.96/10.35	8.45/12.67

Table 2: Statistics of Used Data Sets

#### • Counterparts

Counterpart	Description	Approach
NBL	Recent fingerprinting method [13]	Single Point
DeepLoc	3-layer neural network [18]	Single Point
RaF	1-layer Random Forest regression [28]	Single Point
CCR	2-layer Random Forest regression [28]	Implicit Sequence
HMM	HMM + particle filtering [15]	Sequence
SeqtoSeq	a LSTM-based seq. to seq. model [19]	sequence
ConvLSTM	a convolutional LSTM [17]	Sequence
PRNet	proposed hierarchical neural network	Sequence



Fig. 9. Geographic locations of three testing areas in Shanghai

 Table 3: Counterparts



### **Baseline Results**

Dataset	Jiading (2G)		Jiading (4G)			
	Median (m)	Mean (m)	90% (m)	Median (m)	Mean (m)	90% (m)
NBL	53.4	67.2	300.9	59.7	72.3	318.6
DeepLoc	35.1	47.6	250.3	40.2	53.9	280.6
RaF	38.3	48.3	168.9	38.5	47.2	158.9
CCR	34.1	43.2	142.3	30.2	44.5	145.9
HMM	36.5	52.3	172.8	42.1	53.6	188.4
SeqtoSeq	25.4	50.6	85.3	24.2	50.1	81.7
ConvLSTM	28.5	59.3	129.3	27.3	58.1	124.5
PRNet	15.8	37.8	63.2	18.4	40.6	66.5



### Sensitivity Study

□ Walking<Cycling<Driving (Errors)

- The faster speed is, the higher errors are
- PRNet<SeqtoSeq<HMM (Errors)</p>
- □ Short time intervals>Long time intervals
  - The denser, the better
- □ High base station density better





### Visualization

#### Black: Ground truth







#### Conclusion

- We are the first to leverage DNN model to learn spatiotemporal knowledge from heterogonous MR samples for accurate location recovery.
- The two components of PRNet: local predictor and global predictor is designed for learning local dependencies of individual MR sample and both short- and long-term dependencies from a series of MR samples.
- The evaluation by using six datasets collected on three areas in Shanghai (China) shows the inspiration results of PRNet.



## **Telco 3D Indoor Localization**

collaborate with Huawei by July, 1<sup>st</sup>



### **Background & Motivation**

Smartphone-based indoor localization has recently created significant commercial and social attraction by using various signals, such as WiFi, UWB, magnetic, visual light, etc.

- Why Telco indoor localization?
  - ✓ Cheap, frequent and large-scale Telco data
  - ✓ On-the-shelf infrastructure
  - ✓ Passive, silent localization without disturbing smartphone user

#### Why 3D localization?

- More and more tall buildings (>10f) are built for office and commercial usage
- Accurate floor number is helpful for potential advertisement application





### **Challenges & Solutions**

Challenges:

- × No indoor map provided
- × Infrequent handoff between base stations
- × Only primary serving base station is visible

Hard to differentiate those locations on different floors due to similar MR samples



![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thanks !